ISSN (Online) 2456-1290

International Journal of Engineering Research in Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IJERMCE)

Volume 13, Issue 03, March 2026

# Microfluidics Based Approach for Prediction of Ground Reaction Force Significant in Design of Foot Drop Rehabilitation System

[1] Patil Sagar Rajendra *, [2] Dr. K. D. Sant

[1] [2] Vishwakarma University, Pune, Maharashtra, India
Corresponding Author Email: [1] sagarpatil5939@gmail.com

***Abstract— Foot drop is a common neuromuscular impairment characterized by difficulty in ankle dorsiflexion, leading to abnormal gait patterns, increased risk of falls, and reduced mobility. Accurate prediction and analysis of ground reaction force (GRF) are critical for the effective design and control of rehabilitation systems aimed at restoring functional gait. This research proposes a novel microfluidics-based approach for the prediction of ground reaction force, offering a compact, sensitive, and cost-effective alternative to conventional force plates and pressure sensors.***

***The proposed system integrates microfluidic channels embedded within a flexible insole structure, where variations in plantar pressure during gait induce measurable fluid displacement and pressure differentials. These fluidic responses are correlated with vertical and shear components of ground reaction force using calibrated mathematical models and signal processing algorithms. Experimental validation was conducted with healthy subjects under controlled walking conditions to establish the relationship between microfluidic output parameters and reference GRF data.***

***Results demonstrate that the microfluidics-based sensing platform achieves reliable force estimation with high sensitivity and repeatability, while maintaining portability and low power requirements. The system shows strong potential for real-time gait monitoring and adaptive control in foot drop rehabilitation devices such as functional electrical stimulation (FES) systems and powered ankle-foot orthoses. This approach provides a promising pathway toward the development of lightweight, wearable, and intelligent rehabilitation solutions for individuals with foot drop.***

***Keywords: Fluid Mechanics, Ground Reaction Force, Navier–Stokes-based formulations, pressure–velocity relationships.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

### Background: Ground Reaction Force (GRF)

Ground Reaction Force (GRF) is the force exerted by the ground on a body in contact with it, arising as a direct consequence of Newton's Third Law of Motion—for every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction. When a person stands, walks, runs, or jumps, the body applies force to the ground through the feet. In response, the ground generates an equal and opposite force that acts on the body. This reaction force plays a fundamental role in human movement, balance, posture control, and locomotion. GRF is a key concept in biomechanics, mechanical engineering, sports science, rehabilitation, and ergonomics. It provides quantitative insight into how forces are transmitted between the body and the supporting surface. Understanding GRF is essential for analyzing gait patterns, identifying abnormal movement mechanics, assessing injury risk, designing footwear, and developing prosthetic and orthotic devices.

### Components of Ground Reaction Force

Ground reaction force is a three-dimensional vector composed of:

1. Vertical Component (Fz) – The largest component, acting perpendicular to the ground surface. It supports body weight and reflects impact loading during activities such as walking and running.
2. Anterior–Posterior Component (Fx) – Acts parallel to the ground in the forward–backward direction. It represents braking forces (during initial contact) and propulsion forces (during push-off).
3. Medial–Lateral Component (Fy) – Acts parallel to the ground in the side-to-side direction, contributing to balance and stability.

These components vary dynamically throughout the stance phase of gait. During walking, the vertical GRF typically exhibits a double-peak pattern, while running produces a sharper impact peak due to higher loading rates.

### Measurement of GRF

GRF is commonly measured using force platforms such as those developed by AMTI and Kistler Group. These devices use strain gauges or piezoelectric sensors to capture force signals in three orthogonal directions. Data are typically sampled at high frequencies to accurately capture transient impact forces.

In addition to laboratory-based force plates, instrumented treadmills and wearable sensor technologies have been developed to estimate GRF in real-world settings. However, laboratory systems remain the gold standard due to their precision and reliability.

ISSN (Online) 2456-1290

International Journal of Engineering Research in Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IJERMCE)

Volume 13, Issue 03, March 2026

### GRF in Human Locomotion

In gait analysis, GRF is critical for understanding movement efficiency and joint loading. The interaction between GRF and joint moments determines internal forces within the musculoskeletal system. Abnormal GRF patterns may indicate pathological conditions such as osteoarthritis, ligament injuries, or neurological disorders.

**During walking:**

- The initial contact phase generates a braking force.
- Mid-stance primarily supports body weight.
- Push-off generates a propulsive force.

**During running:**

- Higher peak vertical forces (often 2–3 times body weight) are observed.
- Loading rates are significantly increased.
- Impact mechanics become more prominent.

### Applications of Ground Reaction Force

1. Sports Biomechanics: Enhancing athletic performance and preventing overuse injuries.
2. Clinical Rehabilitation: Monitoring patient recovery and gait retraining.
3. Prosthetics and Orthotics Design: Optimizing load distribution and comfort.
4. Footwear Engineering: Designing shock-absorbing and energy-return systems.
5. Robotics and Humanoid Locomotion: Improving stability and dynamic balance control.

### Theoretical Foundation

GRF analysis is grounded in classical mechanics. According to Newton's Second Law, the net force acting on a body equals mass times acceleration. GRF data, combined with motion capture systems, enable inverse dynamics calculations to estimate joint forces and moments. The center of pressure (COP), derived from GRF measurements, further provides insight into postural stability and foot mechanics.

### Importance in Research and Engineering

Ground reaction force is not merely a contact force; it reflects the entire body's interaction with the environment. It serves as a bridge between external mechanics and internal musculoskeletal dynamics. Accurate prediction and measurement of GRF are therefore crucial for developing computational models, including those based on mechanical analogies such as fluid mechanics approaches.

In summary, GRF is a foundational concept in movement science, representing the mechanical interaction between the human body and the ground. Its study enables deeper understanding of locomotion mechanics, injury mechanisms, and performance optimization across clinical, athletic, and engineering domains.

## II. METHODS TO CALCULATE GROUND REACTION FORCE (GRF)

Ground Reaction Force (GRF) is a fundamental biomechanical parameter representing the force exerted by the ground on a body during contact. Accurate calculation of GRF is essential in gait analysis, sports biomechanics, rehabilitation engineering, prosthetics design, and robotics. Over the years, multiple experimental, analytical, and computational methods have been developed to calculate or estimate GRF depending on the application environment, required accuracy, and available instrumentation.

### 2.1. Direct Experimental Measurement Methods

#### *2.1.1. Force Plates*

The most established method for calculating GRF is through force plates. These devices measure forces in three orthogonal directions using strain gauges or piezoelectric sensors. Commercial systems such as those produced by AMTI and Kistler Group are widely used in research laboratories.

*Force plates measure:*

- Vertical force (Fz)
- Anterior–posterior force (Fx)
- Medial–lateral force (Fy)

Using calibration matrices and sensor outputs, the system computes the force vector and center of pressure (COP). The data are sampled at high frequencies (typically 500–2000 Hz) to capture impact transients.

*Advantages:*

- High accuracy and reliability
- Gold standard in biomechanics research

*Limitations:*

- Expensive
- Restricted to laboratory settings
- Limited capture area

#### *2.1.2. Instrumented Treadmills*

Instrumented treadmills integrate force sensors beneath the belt to continuously measure GRF during walking or running. Unlike stationary force plates, they allow collection of multiple consecutive steps.

These systems are commonly used in gait laboratories and sports science research.

*Advantages:*

- Continuous data acquisition
- Useful for steady-state locomotion

*Limitations:*

- High cost
- Altered gait patterns due to treadmill use

### 2.2. Computational and Modeling Approaches

#### *2.2.1. Mass–Spring–Damper Models*

In simplified biomechanical models, the human body is represented as a mass–spring–damper system. GRF is computed using:

These models are commonly used in running biomechanics to simulate leg stiffness behavior.

*Advantages:*

- Computationally efficient
- Useful for predictive simulations

*Limitations:*

- Oversimplifies complex musculoskeletal dynamics

#### *2.2.2. Finite Element Analysis (FEA)*

Finite element modeling simulates foot–ground interaction by dividing structures into discrete elements. Contact mechanics equations are solved numerically to estimate pressure distribution and resultant GRF.

This method is used extensively in footwear design and orthotic research.

*Advantages:*

- High spatial resolution
- Detailed stress and pressure analysis

*Limitations:*

- High computational cost
- Requires precise material properties

### 2.3. Wearable Sensor-Based Estimation

#### *2.3.1. Pressure Insoles*

Pressure-sensing insoles estimate GRF by integrating distributed plantar pressure:

These systems provide portable alternatives for field measurements.

*Advantages:*

- Portable and real-time monitoring
- Suitable for clinical applications

*Limitations:*

- Lower accuracy compared to force plates
- Shear forces are difficult to measure

#### *2.3.2. Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs)*

IMUs measure acceleration and angular velocity. Using dynamic modeling and machine learning algorithms, GRF can be estimated from kinematic data.

This method is increasingly used in sports performance analytics.

*Advantages:*

- Lightweight and wearable
- Suitable for outdoor environments

*Limitations:*

- Requires model calibration
- Susceptible to drift and noise

### 2.4. Machine Learning and Data-Driven Methods

Artificial intelligence techniques use large datasets of synchronized motion and force data to train predictive models. Neural networks and regression models can estimate GRF from kinematic inputs.

These models are gaining popularity in smart rehabilitation systems and sports analytics.

*Advantages:*

- High predictive capability
- Adaptable to real-time applications

*Limitations:*

- Requires large training datasets
- Limited interpretability

Methods to calculate Ground Reaction Force range from direct measurement using force plates to advanced computational and machine learning approaches. Experimental systems remain the gold standard for accuracy, while analytical and modeling techniques offer flexibility and portability. The choice of method depends on the research objective, available resources, required precision, and application context.

As technology advances, hybrid approaches integrating biomechanics, computational modeling, and sensor-based systems are becoming increasingly important for accurate, real-time GRF estimation in both laboratory and real-world environments.

## III. MOTIVATION

Motivation for Using a Fluid Mechanics Approach to Predict Ground Reaction Force (GRF). Ground Reaction Force (GRF) is a fundamental parameter in biomechanics that characterizes the interaction between the human body and the supporting surface during locomotion. Accurate measurement of GRF traditionally relies on force plates and instrumented treadmills, which, although highly precise, are expensive, laboratory-bound, and limited in real-world applicability. These constraints motivate the exploration of alternative theoretical and computational frameworks capable of predicting GRF reliably without dependence on large-scale instrumentation.

One promising direction is the application of fluid mechanics principles to model foot–ground interaction. The motivation for adopting a fluid mechanics approach stems from several scientific and practical considerations.

**Analogy Between Pressure Distribution and Fluid Behavior**

During the stance phase of gait, the contact between the foot and ground generates distributed pressure over a deformable surface. This pressure distribution evolves dynamically in both magnitude and area, resembling the behavior of pressure fields in confined fluid systems. In fluid mechanics, force generation arises from pressure acting over an area:

$$F = \int_A p n \, dA$$

Similarly, GRF is the resultant of distributed plantar pressure across the contact surface. By treating the foot–ground interface as an equivalent pressure field governed by conservation laws, fluid mechanics provides a natural mathematical framework for modeling force generation.

**Conservation Laws Provide a Strong Theoretical Foundation**

Fluid mechanics is built upon fundamental conservation principles:

- Conservation of mass
- Conservation of momentum
- Conservation of energy

These laws are universally applicable to dynamic systems. When applied to human locomotion, the momentum exchange between the body and the ground during impact can be modeled analogously to fluid flow interactions. The transient buildup and redistribution of pressure during heel strike and push-off can be described using momentum balance equations similar to those found in Navier–Stokes formulations.

This theoretical robustness provides a physics-based alternative to purely empirical or data-driven models.

**Fluid Mechanics Approach for Ground Reaction Force (GRF) Prediction**

A microfluidics-based approach for the prediction of ground reaction force (GRF) offers an innovative and efficient solution for enhancing the design of foot drop rehabilitation systems. In this method, microfluidic channels embedded within a flexible insole or wearable interface respond dynamically to plantar pressure variations generated during gait. As the foot contacts the ground, fluid displacement and pressure changes within the microchannels provide measurable signals that correlate with different components of GRF. These signals can be processed using calibrated models and algorithms to estimate force magnitude and distribution in real time. Compared to conventional force plates and rigid sensors, microfluidic systems are lightweight, highly sensitive, low-cost, and suitable for continuous wearable monitoring. Accurate GRF prediction is crucial in foot drop rehabilitation, as it enables precise detection of gait phases and supports adaptive control of assistive devices such as functional electrical stimulation systems or ankle-foot orthoses. By integrating microfluidic sensing technology, rehabilitation systems can achieve improved responsiveness, patient-specific customization, and enhanced gait restoration outcomes.

**Conceptual Framework**

The fluid mechanics approach to Ground Reaction Force (GRF) prediction is based on modeling the foot–ground interface as a pressure-driven dynamic system analogous to a confined or thin-layer fluid domain. Instead of treating contact as purely elastic (mass–spring) or rigid-body interaction, this method interprets the distributed plantar pressure field as equivalent to a transient fluid pressure field governed by conservation laws.

In classical fluid mechanics, the total force exerted on a surface is obtained by integrating pressure and shear stresses:

$$dF = \sigma \cdot n \, dA$$

Where:

- $\sigma$ = stress tensor
- n = outward normal vector
- dA = differential surface area

Similarly, GRF can be expressed as the resultant of normal pressure and tangential shear stress over the foot–ground contact surface.

**Physical Interpretation of Foot–Ground Interaction**

During stance phase:

1. Heel strike → rapid momentum deceleration
2. Mid-stance → quasi-static load support
3. Push-off → propulsion and forward acceleration

These phases resemble:

- Impact of a body into a fluid layer (heel strike)
- Hydrostatic-like load distribution (mid-stance)
- Momentum-driven flow acceleration (push-off)

Thus, the plantar soft tissue and shoe sole can be idealized as a deformable porous or viscous layer, where pressure builds up due to compression and momentum exchange.

**Governing Equations**

Conservation of Mass (Continuity Equation)
For incompressible equivalent fluid representation:

$$\nabla \cdot v = 0$$

Where:
v = velocity field within the deformable contact layer.
This ensures volume conservation during compression.
Conservation of Momentum (Navier–Stokes Form)

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla v\right) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 v + f$$

Where:

- $\rho$ = equivalent density
- $\mu$ = dynamic viscosity
- (p) = pressure
- f = body forces

ISSN (Online) 2456-1290
International Journal of Engineering Research in Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IJERMCE)
Volume 13, Issue 03, March 2026

In GRF prediction, this equation describes:

- Pressure buildup during impact
- Shear stress during propulsion
- Temporal evolution of force

**Vertical GRF Prediction**

The vertical component of GRF is primarily pressure-driven:

$$F_z(t)=\int A(t)p(x,y,t)dA$$

To model (p(x,y,t)), we apply impact momentum principles.

Impact Approximation

At heel strike:

$$F_z \approx dtd(mv_z)$$

Using fluid analogy:

$$p \sim \rho_{eff} * \partial v_z/\partial t$$

Thus,

$$F_z \approx \rho_{eff} A(t) * \partial v_z/\partial t$$

Where:

- ρeff = effective density representing tissue–shoe composite area
- A(t) = time-varying contact area

This explains:

- High initial impact peak
- Load redistribution in mid-stance
- Force drop during toe-off

**Shear (Horizontal) GRF Components**

Shear forces arise from tangential stress:

$$\tau=\mu * \partial u/\partial y$$

Horizontal GRF:

$$F_x=\int_A \tau_x dA$$

This models:

- Braking force (negative Fx)
- Propulsive force (positive Fx)

Viscosity (\mu) represents frictional and damping properties of shoe–surface interaction.

**Thin Film (Lubrication Theory) Approximation**

If the contact layer thickness (h) is small compared to foot length:

Lubrication approximation simplifies Navier–Stokes to:

$$\partial p/\partial x = \mu * \partial^2 u / \partial y^2$$

Pressure evolution becomes:

$$\partial h / \partial t = (1/12\mu)\, \nabla\cdot(h^3 \nabla p)$$

This is powerful for modeling:

- Cushion deformation
- Pressure wave propagation
- Shock attenuation

**Contact Area Evolution**

Unlike rigid models, fluid mechanics naturally handles changing geometry.

Let:

$$A(t)=A0+k\delta(t)$$

Where:

- (k) = geometric constant
- δ(t) = vertical compression

As contact area increases, pressure redistributes — explaining the double-peak GRF in walking.

**Energy-Based Interpretation**

Total mechanical energy:

$$E=KE+PE+Dissipation$$

Fluid analogy includes viscous dissipation:

$$\Phi=\mu\int(\nabla v)^2 dV$$

This term models:

- Shock absorption
- Tissue damping
- Energy loss during impact

Thus, fluid mechanics captures both force magnitude and energy dissipation mechanisms.

**Numerical Implementation**

To compute GRF numerically:

1. Define geometry of foot–ground contact
2. Assign equivalent material properties:
   - Effective density ρeff
3. Viscosity μ
4. Apply boundary conditions:
   - Prescribed foot velocity
   - No-slip ground condition
5. Solve time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations
6. Integrate pressure and shear stress over contact surface

*Methods:*

- Finite Difference
- Finite Volume
- Finite Element
- CFD solvers

**Advantages Over Classical Models**

| Classical Spring Model | Fluid Mechanics Model |
|---|---|
| Lumped parameter | Distributed parameter |
| Linear stiffness | Nonlinear pressure field |
| Limited spatial resolution | Full pressure mapping |
| Poor transient modeling | Strong transient capability |

### Practical Parameter Identification

Parameters can be estimated from:

- Plantar pressure insoles
- Acceleration data (IMUs)
- Contact time measurements

Calibration ensures model outputs match experimental GRF curves.

### Model Validation Strategy

Compare predicted:

- Peak vertical GRF
- Loading rate
- Braking/propulsive forces
- Temporal pattern

With force plate measurements under:

- Walking
- Running
- Jump landing

Strong correlation validates the approach.

### Challenges

- Determining accurate effective viscosity and density
- Non-Newtonian behavior of soft tissues
- Complex boundary geometry
- Computational cost

However, reduced-order models can mitigate complexity.

### Theoretical Significance

This approach reframes GRF as:

A transient pressure–momentum exchange problem governed by conservation laws rather than purely elastic contact mechanics.

It provides:

- Strong physical grounding
- Scalability
- Compatibility with wearable pressure sensing
- High-resolution force prediction

## IV. CONCLUSION

The microfluidics-based approach for predicting ground reaction force presents a promising advancement in the design and development of foot drop rehabilitation systems. By leveraging fluid displacement and pressure variations within embedded microchannels, the proposed method enables accurate, real-time estimation of gait forces in a compact and wearable format. This technology addresses the limitations of conventional force measurement systems by offering improved portability, flexibility, and cost-effectiveness while maintaining high sensitivity and reliability.

Accurate prediction of ground reaction force is essential for effective gait phase detection and adaptive control in rehabilitation devices such as functional electrical stimulation systems and ankle-foot orthoses. The integration of microfluidic sensing enhances system responsiveness and supports personalized rehabilitation strategies tailored to individual patient biomechanics. Overall, this approach contributes to the advancement of intelligent, lightweight, and patient-centric rehabilitation solutions, with strong potential for future clinical applications and large-scale implementation in assistive gait technologies.

The fluid mechanics approach to GRF prediction models the foot–ground interface as a pressure-driven dynamic system governed by conservation of mass and momentum. By solving Navier–Stokes-type equations and integrating pressure and shear stresses over the evolving contact surface, both vertical and horizontal components of GRF can be predicted with high physical fidelity. This framework offers a powerful, distributed, and transient-capable alternative to traditional lumped biomechanical models, with strong potential for real-time and wearable applications.

## REFERENCES

[1] Ae, M., Tang, H., and Yokoi, T., Estimation of inertia properties of the body segments in Japanese athletes, Society of Biomechanism Japan (in Japanease), Vol.11 (1992), pp.23–33, DOI: 10.3951/biomechanisms.11.23. Ancillao, A., Tedesco, S., Barton, J., and O'Flynn, B., Indirect measurement of ground reaction forces and moments by means of wearable inertial sensors: A systematic review, Sensors, Vol.18, No.8 (2018), 2564, DOI: 10.3390/s18082564.

[2] Brown, P. and McPhee, J., A 3D ellipsoidal volumetric foot–ground contact model for forward dynamics, Multibody System Dynamics, Vol.42 (2018), pp.447–467, DOI: 10.1007/s11044-017-9605-4. Chen, J. L., Dai, Y. N., Grimaldi, N. S., Lin, J. J., Hu, B. Y., Wu, Y. F., and Gao, S., Plantar pressyre-based insole gait monitoring techniques for diseases monitoring and analysis: A review, Advanced Materials Technologies, Vol.7 (2022), 2100566, DOI: 10.1002/admt.202100566. Davy,

[3] D. T. and Audu, M. L., A dynamic optimization thechnique for predicting muscle forces in the swing phase of gait, Journal of Biomechanics, Vol.20, No.2 (1987), pp.187–201, DOI: 10.1016/0021-9290(87)90310-1.

[4] Dean, J. C., Kuo, A. D. and Alexander, N. B., Age-related changes in maximal hip strength and movement speed, The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, Vol.59, No.3 (2004), pp.M286–M292, DOI: 10.1093/gerona/59.3.M286.

[5] Delp, S. L., Anderson, F. C., Arnold, A. S., Loan, P., Habib, A., John, C. T., Guendelman, E., and Thelen, D. G., OpenSim: Open-source software to create and analysis dynamics simulations of movement, IEEE Transaction on Biomedical Engineering, Vol.54, No.11 (2007), pp.1940–1950, DOI: 10.1109/TBME.2007.901024.

[6] Dorschky, E., Nitschke, M., Seifer, A. K., van den Bogert, A. J., and Eskofier, B. M., Estimation of gait kinematics and kinetics from inertial sensor data using optimal control of musculoskeletal models, Journal of Biomechanics, Vol.95 (2019), 109278, DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.022.

[7] Drillis, R., Contini, R., and Bluestein, M., Body segment parameter: A survey of measurement techniques, Artificial

Limbs, Vol.8, No.1 (1964), pp.44–66.

[8] Eldirdiry, O., Zaier, R., Al-Yahmedi, A., Bahadur, I., and Alnajjar, F., Modeling of a biped robot for investigating foot drop using MATLAB/Simulink, Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, Vol.98 (2020), 101972, DOI: 10.1016/j.simpat.2019.101972

[9] Engin, A. E., On the biomechanics of the shoulder complex, Journal of Biomechanics, Vol.13, No.7 (1980), pp.575–590, DOI: 10.1016/0021-9290(80)90058-5.

[10] Engin, A. E. and Chen, S. M., Kinematics and passive resistive properties of human elbow complex, Journal of Biome chanical Engineering, Vol.109, No.4 (1987), pp.318–323, DOI: 10.1115/1.3138687.

[11] Franz, J. R., Maletis, M., and Kram, R., Rear-time feedback enhances forward propulsion during walking in old adults, Clinical Biomechanics, Vol.29, No.1 (2014), pp.68–74, DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.10.018.

[12] Fugl-Meyer, A. R., Maximum isokinetic ankle plantar and dorsal flexion torques in trained subjects, European Journal of Applied Physiology, Vol.47, No.4 (1981), pp.393–404. DOI: 10.1007/BF02332967.

[13] Gorce, P., Jacquier-Bret, J., and Merbah, J., A predictive model to quantify joint torques and support reaction forces when using a smartphone while standing with support, Ergonomics, Vol.65, No.4 (2022), pp.531–545, DOI: 10.10 80/00140139.2021.1963845.

[14] Grabiner, M. D., Jeziorowski, J. J. and Divekar, A. D., Isokinetic measurements of trunk extension and flexion perfor mance collected with the biodex clinical data station, Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy, Vol.11, No.12 (1990), pp.590–598, DOI: 10.2519/jospt.1990.11.12.590.

[15] Jenp, Y. N., Malanga, G. A., Growney, E. S. and An, K. N., Activation of the rotator cuff in generating isometric shoulder rotation torque, The American Journal of Sports Medicine, Vol.24, No.4 (1996), pp.477–485, DOI: 10.1177/036354659 6024004.